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THE GLOBAL PLAY OF
NAM JUNE PAIK
THE ARTIST THAT EMBRACED
AND TRANSFORMED MARSHALL MCLUHAN’S
DREAMS INTO REALITY

What else can be said of Nam June Paik and his artistic practice that perhaps has not been said before? My guess is not very much... and while I write my first lines to this introduction I realize that it is already sounding like a classic Latin ‘invocatio,’ or request to assistance from the divinity, used by writers when having to tread complex waters.

Nam June Paik and Marshall McLuhan are two of the numerous artists and authors who inspired my formative years. If one cannot deny Paik’s love of play and satire imbued in popular culture and used to disguise a real intellectual and conceptual approach to the artwork, neither can easily be discounted McLuhan’s strong advocacy of the powerful tool that technology can be, so powerful that is able to disguise a real intellectual and conceptual approach to the artwork, neither can easily be discounted McLuhan’s strong advocacy of the powerful tool that technology can be, so powerful that is able to obscure and sideline the message itself in the name of the medium.

“Marshall McLuhan’s famous phrase ‘Media is message’ was formulated by Norbert Wiener in 1948 as ‘The signal, where the message is sent, plays equally important role as the signal, where message is not sent.’”

The construction of this hybrid book, I hope, would have pleased Paik for it is a strange construction, collage and recollection, of memories, events, places and artworks. In this volume collide present events, past memories, a conference and an exhibition, all in the name of Nam June Paik, the artist who envisaged the popular future of the world of media.

Paik remains perhaps one of the most revolutionary artists, for his practice was mediated, geared towards the masses and not necessarily or preeminently dominated by a desire of sitting within the establishment. He also challenged the perception of what art ‘should be’ and at the same time undermined elitisms through the use, at his time, of what were considered ‘non-artistic-media.’ Some of the choices in his career, both in terms of artistic medium and in terms of content, can be defined as visionary as well as risky to the point of bravery or idiocy, depending on the mindset of the critic.

That some of the artworks may be challenging for the viewer as well as the art critic is perhaps obvious – as obvious was Paik’s willingness to challenge the various media he used, the audience that followed him and the established aesthetic of his own artistic practice. Taking risks, particularly taking risks with one’s own artistic practice, may also mean to risk a downward spiral; and Paik did not seem to shy away from artworks’ challenging productions and make use of varied and combined media, therefore re-defining the field of art and placing himself at the center of it.

In the following decades, Paik was to transform virtually all aspects of video through his innovative sculptures, installations, single-channel videotapes, productions for television, and performances. As a teacher, writer, lecturer, and advisor to foundations, he continually informed and transformed 20th century contemporary art.

Therefore, it seems limited to define Paik as ‘the father of video art’ when his approaches were to resonate in a multiplicity of fields and areas.

Paik’s latest creative deployment of new media is through laser technology. He has called his most recent installation a “post-video project,” which continues the articulation of the kinetic image through the use of laser energy projected onto scrims, cascading water, and smoke-filled sculptures. At the beginning of the twenty-first century, Paik’s work shows us that the cinema and video are fusing with electronic and digital media into new images and forms of expression. The end of video and television as we know them signals a transformation of our visual culture.

When Mike Stubbs and Omar Kholeif approached me to create this book, the challenge was to create a structure for the material but also to keep the openness that characterizes so many of Paik’s artworks and so many of the approaches that he has inspired.

I found the best framework in one of Paik’s artworks that was presented for the first time in the United Kingdom, at FACT, in Liverpool, thanks to the efforts of both Stubbs and Kholeif.

My fascination with the Laser Cone’s re-fabrication in Liverpool was immediate and I wanted to reflect in the publication, albeit symbolically, the multiple possibilities and connections that underpinned the Laser Cone’s re-fabrication and its medium, as well as Paik’s and McLuhan’s visions of the world to come, made of light, optics and lasers.

The word laser is actually an acronym; it stands for Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation. Nam June Paik undertook a residency with Bell Labs, who were the inventors of the laser. It was here that he created his 1966 piece Digital Experimentation at Bell Labs, exploring the stark contrast between digital and analogue and his fascination with technology in its material form. His work with Bell set the precedent for artists and musicians to start using technology creatively in a new way.
This catalog became a tool to mirror and perhaps ‘transmediate’ the laser installation “made of a huge green laser that [...] corporeally aligned FACT with Tate Liverpool. Travelling 800 metres as the crow flies, the beam of light [...] made” a symbolic connection between the two venues, their joint exhibition of video artist, pioneer and composer Nam June Paik. Artist Peter Appleton, who was behind the laser which joined the Anglican and Metropolitan cathedrals in Liverpool during 2008 Capital of Culture, was commissioned by FACT to create the artwork, Laser Link, which references Nam June Paik’s innovative laser works.

The catalog is in itself a work that reflects the laser connections, the speed of contacts, their possible point of connection of a variety of media as easily as connecting people from all parts of the world. In this phantasmagoria of connections it almost seems possible to visualize the optic cables and Wi-Fi that like threads join the people and the media of McLuhan’s “global village” and the multiplicities of media that Paik invited us to use to create what I would like to define as the contemporary “bastard art.”

Lanfranco Aceti
Editor in Chief, Leonardo Electronic Almanac
Director, Kisa Gallery

A NOTE FROM THE EDITOR IN CHIEF

For me personally this book represents a moment of further transformation of LEA, not only as a journal publishing volumes as in the long tradition of the journal, but also as a producer of books and catalogs that cater for the larger community of artists that create bastard art or bastard science for that matter.

ENDNOTES AND REFERENCES

6. Art as a bastard is interpreted, in this passage, as something of uncertain origins that cannot be easily defined and neatly encapsulated in a definition or framework. “Art is often a bastard; the parents of which we do not know.” Nam June Paik as cited in Florence de Meredieu, Digital and Video Art, trans. Richard Elliott (Edinburgh: Chambers, 2005), 180.
7. Lanfranco Aceti, Editor in Chief, Leonardo Electronic Almanac, Director, Kisa GALLERY
The Future Is Now?

Far and Wide: Nam June Paik is an edited collection that seeks to explore the legacy of the artist Nam June Paik in contemporary media culture. This particular project grew out of a collaboration between FACT, Foundation for Art and Creative Technology, and the Tate Liverpool, who in late 2010-2011 staged the largest retrospective the artist’s work in the UK. The first since his death, it also showcased the premiere of Paik’s laser work in Europe. The project, staged across both sites, also included a rich public programme. Of these, two think tank events, *The Future is Now: Media Arts, Performance and Identity after Nam June Paik* and *The Electronic Superhighway: Art after Nam June Paik*, brought together a forum of leading artists, performers and thinkers in the cross-cultural field together to explore and dissect the significance of Paik within broader culture.

This programme was developed by a large group of collaborators. The discursive programme was produced by FACT in partnership with Catin Plage, then Curator of Public Programmes at Tate. One of our primary research concerns was exploring how Paik’s approach to creative practice fragmented existing ideological standpoints about the visual arts as a hermetically sealed, self-referential canon. Drawing from Bruno Latour, Norman M. Klein and Jay David Bolter, among many others – our think tank and, as such, this reader, sought to study how the visual field has proliferated across disciplines through the possibilities that are facilitated by technology. At the same time, we were keen to examine how artists now possess a unique form of agency – one that is simultaneously singular and collective, enabled by the cross-embedded nature of the current technological field. These positions are explored throughout the reader and our programme and in this special edition of the Leonardo Electronic Almanac. Here, the artist who goes by the constructed meme of the “Famous New Media Artist. Jeremy Bailey” tracks Rosalind Krauss’s influence and transposes her theoretical approach towards video art to the computer, examining the isolated act of telepresent augmented reality performance. Roy Ascott gives a nod to his long-standing interest in studying the relationship between cybernetics and consciousness. Eminent film and media curator, John G. Hanhardt honours us with a first-hand historical framework, which opens the collection of transcripts, before further points of departure are developed.

Researchers Jamie Allen, Gabriella Galati, Tim Schiefold, and Emile Deveraux used these frameworks retrospectively to extrapolate parallel, dissonances and points of return to the artist’s work. Deveraux and Allen focus on specific pieces, Deveraux discusses Paik and Shuya Abe’s Raster Manipulation Unit aka “The Webulator” (1970), while Allen surveys a series of tendencies in the artist’s work, developed after he was invited to visit to the Nam June Paik Center in South Korea. Galati and Schiefold stretch this framework to explore broader concerns. Schiefold considers the use of data in contemporary artwork, while Galati explores the problematic association with media culture.

It is worth mentioning at this stage that many who joined in contributing to this process, who did not partake formally in this reader or the public programme. Dara Birnbaum, Tony Conrad, Yoko Ono, Cory Arcangel, Laurie Anderson, Ken Hakuta, Marisa Olson, all served as sources of guidance, whether directly or indirectly through conversations, e-mails, and contacts. Still, there remain many lingering questions that are not answered here, many of which were posed both by our research and organizational processes. The first and most straightforward question for Caitlin and I was: why is it so difficult to find female artists who would be willing to contribute or speak on the record about Paik’s influence? It always seemed that there were many interested parties, but so very few who were eager to commit to our forum.

The second and perhaps more open-ended question is: what would Nam June Paik have made of the post-internet contemporary art scene? Would Paik have been an advocate of the free distribution of artwork through such platforms as UbuWeb and YouTube? Would he have been accepting of it, if it were ephemeral, or would he have fought for the protection of licensing? This question remains: could an artist charged with bringing so much openness to the visual arts, have been comfortable with the level of openness that has developed since his death? There is much that remains unanswered, and that, we can only speculate. Far and Wide does not offer a holistic biography or historical overview of the artist’s work or indeed its authority. Rather, it serves to extract open-ended questions about how far and wide Nam June Paik’s influence may have travelled, and to consider what influence it has yet to wield.

Omar Kholeif
Editor and Curator
FACT, Foundation for Art and Creative Technology

---

Jeremy Bailey

Nam June Paik saw technology as a form of communication. In his eyes consumer technologies like television were not one way conduits for consumption but rather extensions of the body and of human culture. He even believed they could one day facilitate world peace and understanding.

I also believe that technology is a form of communication, in my view however it is less of an extension than an amputation and almost entirely circular. This is best demonstrated by looking at a generation of video art Nam June Paik helped initiate. Video art was first defined by artists that performed in their studios in front of cameras that were attached to monitors that reflected, in real time, the artist’s technologically mediated image back upon themselves. They called it performance for the camera. These artists made work that demonstrated what Rosalind Krauss identified as the ‘Aesthetics of Narcissism,’ loosely described as a type of work in which artists become self-conscious as they watch themselves watching themselves. This psychological circuit resulted in the popular adoption of persona as an artistic strategy – when looking at an electronic mirror what else can you do but be critical of yourself?

My own work investigates this reflective circuit and the impact it has on the body and identity. In this century the computer has replaced the camera and the internet has replaced the television. The computer interface scrambles our reflections with an endless stream of data from the internet. More often than not performers can no longer contain or understand their own reflection, a portion of their conscious mind has been replaced by the unconscious decision making processes of computer software. The resulting persona is that of a confused narcissistic and morally ambiguous fool. This is the character that I play in my performances and videos.

Though this point of view may appear cynical in response to Nam June Paik’s vision of a techno-utopia, it is actually the opposite. It is my sincere love for technology as a tool for expression that has lead me to create a persona so ignorant and naïve that this dream is still possible.

In 1967 Sony released the Portapak, the first consumer priced video camera. It is legend that Nam June Paik became the first ever video artist when he purchased the first Portapak, recorded twenty minutes of video from the window of his taxi on the way home and showed the work at a gallery in Greenwich Village later that night. Though this story has been disproved, Nam June Paik is still recognized as the originator of Video Art and inspired countless others to use and establish video as a legitimate art form.

In the fall of 2010 I was invited by FACT and Tate Liverpool to contribute a new performance to The Future is Now, a symposia examining the legacy of Paik’s thinking and practice with a focus on performance and identity.

Around this time Microsoft released a camera peripheral for their X-Box 360 gaming console called the Kinect: the first consumer priced depth camera, capable of mapping a person’s joints in three dimensional space. The Kinect became the fastest selling consumer video art when he purchased the first Portapak, recorded twenty minutes of video from the window of his taxi on the way home and showed the work at a gallery in Greenwich Village later that night. Though this story has been disproved, Nam June Paik is still recognized as the originator of Video Art and inspired countless others to use and establish video as a legitimate art form.

In the fall of 2010 I was invited by FACT and Tate Liverpool to contribute a new performance to The Future is Now, a symposia examining the legacy of Paik’s thinking and practice with a focus on performance and identity.

My own work investigates this reflective circuit and the impact it has on the body and identity. In this century the computer has replaced the camera and the internet has replaced the television.
electronics device of all time and generated unparalleled excitement among creative hackers and artists online.

For The Future is Now I was inspired by Paik’s ability to create a new art form from a consumer electronics product release, and I asked myself if I could become the first ever Kinect Artist and the originator of Kinect Art. If the release of a consumer video camera resulted in the birth of Video Art, a forty year movement equal in weight to photography, why shouldn’t the Kinect have the same effect on contemporary art?

The birth of Video Art marks the first time a consumer electronics device had an impact on the aesthetic progress of art, and therefore the second time Capital has dictated the terms of cultural innovation (the first time being on the eve of its own invention: the Industrial Revolution). Though it isn’t the last time this has occurred, being the first to use new technology creatively has become so important that nearly every consumer electronics breakthrough is now heralded as the future of art. So much so that a label now exists to describe the type of artist that believes in this hopeful fantasy: The New Media Artist.

I am Famous New Media Artist Jeremy Bailey; I believe in technology as a form of communication but I am less interested in what I am saying with technology than what it is telling me to say.*

*My word processor may have told me to say this.

This text was originally published in shorter form for a catalog published on the occasion of the exhibition Mediascape, à pas de Nam June Paik, at the Nam June Paik Art Centre in South Korea, curated by Soo Kyung Lee.